



FINAL Monitoring Report (Replication activities until end of May 2012)

Country:	Philippines
Pilot City:	Bacolod City
Thematic Area:	People-Public-Private Participation
No and Title of Activity (refer to application):	Activity 17 Monitoring and consulting during the implementation of transfer concepts
Reported by:	Gilda Luisma
Reported when:	August 2012

How do you evaluate the overall progress, starting from the beginning of the action until end of May 2012? Provide a picture comparing the pre-situation with the situation in May 2012.

Extent of people participation may differ in different areas based on political, cultural and economic aspects of a particular place. In Bacolod, civil society have been very active and vigilant in the affairs of government. Although a number of NGOs, POs have been in partnership with the city government mostly in social concerns of the community. However, participation and partnership were then forged between the public (government) and the big companies and organizations. But partnership is only on the implementation activities seldom that they were involved from the inception, planning, procurement, or other processes prior to implementation. Participation is manifested in the involvement of some sectors in the city development council where projects are prioritized for budget purposes.

As a pilot area replicating the PPPP best practice of Cambodia, I can say that our apprehensions and risks identified in getting people to participate were eliminated due to the enthusiasm that we saw with the private sector. We realized that indeed people are just too willing to help and participate if given the venue to make them work with the city. We are seeing the community's interest in cooperating and working with the government. Although there may be some apprehensions from among the community particularly those who may not be politically affiliated with the present administration but then calling for their participation and involvement we saw that they were more than willing to



This project is co-funded by the European Union.



A Project implemented by the consortium: Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung e.V., Thailand Environment Institute (TEI), Local Government Development Foundation Inc. (LOGODEF), United Cities and Local Governments for Asia and Pacific (UCLG-ASPAC), Association of Indonesian Regency Governments (APKASI), Association of Cities of Vietnam (ACVN), and National League of Communes/Sangkats of the Kingdom of Cambodia (NLC/S).



take the first step and test the grounds on how they could eventually work with the government regardless of political affiliations.

What have been the main achievements of project replication? Refer to the objectives and results.

- A pilot project which is the construction of a youth home for our children in conflict with the law (aka juvenile delinquents) was identified
- A series of consultation and interactions were conducted with the private sector
- Through an executive order we have established the PPPP consortium which is composed of people, organizations, clubs, POs both from the private and public sector
- Partnerships have been initially forged between the city and the private sector.

What have been the main benefits for the people so far (e.g. socio-economic/and or environmental impact of replication)? And how did this impact the living condition of the people? Please refer to the overall objective.

With a population of around 500,000, the city has to address the needs of every constituents, of every sector, of every organizations, cause oriented groups not to mention the increased migrants in the city. Realistically, the taxes alone cannot address every need of the city's population and having the collaboration and cooperation of the private sector, we envision to have better delivery of basic services to our people.

What impacts (e.g. in terms of capacity) could you observe on behalf of the pilot city taking part in this project on transnational best practice exchange?

- Widens the knowledge and experience of the pilot area in taking part on a transnational best practice exchange
- Transnational exchange of ideas gave the pilot areas opportunity to better understand system of its neighboring countries and also see the similarities in doing local governance
- There is no substitute for actual observations and interactions with people who really did the process and who possibly made the system work rather than just reading it through a book or document. Questions and clarifications maybe asked personally rather than just making mere assumptions through reading materials.



This project is co-funded by the European Union.



A Project implemented by the consortium: Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung e.V., Thailand Environment Institute (TEI), Local Government Development Foundation Inc. (LOGODEF), United Cities and Local Governments for Asia and Pacific (UCLG-ASPAC), Association of Indonesian Regency Governments (APKASI), Association of Cities of Vietnam (ACVN), and National League of Communes/Sangkats of the Kingdom of Cambodia (NLC/S).

Did the city cooperate with third bodies (e.g. LGAs, business, or academia) which supported the replication process?

Yes, with the league of cities, academe and business sector

Has the knowledge been shared with other cities, or even been replicated to other cities?

As of the moment the knowledge has not been shared with other cities however, it is the city's vision to succeed in replicating this best practice in order that we can assist other LGUs who may opt to benchmark the process and the steps we have done in replicating said best practice.

Which follow-up actions do you recommend?

- LGAs to maintain data bank on the best practices since they have the capacity to influence other LGUs to replicate the best practice
- Frequent exchange of feedbacks and updates from among pilot areas

How will the city continue replicating the best practice? What are the planned activities until end of 2012?

- Issuance of city ordinance institutionalizing the PPPP consortium so that they could work beyond the term of any chief executive
- Consortium to register in the securities and exchange commission so that it will have legal personality in undertaking its activities as well as formulation of by-laws to guide and direct actions of the consortium
- Monitoring activities will be continuously done to check the progress/development of the organization and to further strengthen the collaboration of its members



This project is co-funded by the European Union.



A Project implemented by the consortium: Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung e.V., Thailand Environment Institute (TEI), Local Government Development Foundation Inc. (LOGODEF), United Cities and Local Governments for Asia and Pacific (UCLG-ASPAC), Association of Indonesian Regency Governments (APKASI), Association of Cities of Vietnam (ACVN), and National League of Communes/Sangkats of the Kingdom of Cambodia (NLC/S).



LOGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR BACOLOD CITY

Objective Result Activity	Content of Objective and Result Activity with Time	2011				2012				Responsible Party / Responsible Person	Indicators	Source/Means of Verification	Planned Budget / Funding Source
		q1	q2	q3	q4	q1	q2	q3	q4				
Overall Objective	The improvement of living conditions of disadvantaged groups through increased participation of people in local planning and decision-making												
Specific Objective	A) Improved quality of services delivered by the city government to address the needs of Children in Conflict with the Law or CICL (aka juvenile delinquents) by establishing and operating through people's participation a facility (Bacolod Youth Home) with financial and non-financial assistance of the private sector and NGOs; B) Increased participation of the business sector, NGOs, barangays and people's organization in planning/implementation for the rehabilitation and successful reintegration of the CICLs into the community;										a) Operational new Bacolod Youth Home b) 50% decline in the number of CICLS a) number of rehabilitated and reintegrated former CICLS a) Number of NGOs, POs and barangays supporting in the Bacolod Youth Home b) % increase in contribution, monetary and nonmonetary, for the construction and operation of the Bacolod Youth Home	Certification of the CSWD that the Bacolod Youth Home is in operation Police and CSWD Reports Police and CSWD Reports MOAs with NGOs, Pos an barangays Financial reports of Bacolod Youth Home Council	
Result 0	Needs of Pilot and adaptability if BP established									National Coordinator in cooperation with City Government	Match of BP and PC needs resulted in feasible action plan		With Natl. Coord. & Asst. /DELGOSEA
Activity 0.1	Analysis of local needs in specific areas of General Objectives and agreement on matching BP	Finalized APR								City Government, DELGOSEA	Needs report agreed; Transfer concept adopted		1,500 Euros / DELGOSEA
Activity 0.2	Establishing of detailed action plan	Finalized JUN								City Government	Implementation of detailed activity plan started as planned		
Result 1	Constructed CICL Center Building										Operational Bacolod Youth Home		
Activity 1.1	Compliance with conditions as prescribed in RA 9344 for the construction of the Bacolod Youth Home												
Step 1	Consult with host barangay officials for site of the youth home					Week3 JUL				LGU, Office of the Mayor	Barangay resolution endorsing the construction of Bacolod Youth Home in the barangay	Minutes of the meeting of the barangay council	
Step 2	Create of the Management Team from LGU, Project Team & Technical Working Group (TWG)					Week1 AUG				LGU, Office of the Mayor	Teams and TWG created, duties and responsibilities of each were identified	EO of the City Mayor	
Step 3	Capacity building for technical working group for youth home	JAN								Management Team, Project Team	Members of TWG are made aware of the project, its objective and the process of implementation, training for TWG conducted	Attendance to the capacity building workshop	33 euros (LGU Funding)
Step 4	Convene and conduct consultation with identified core strategic partners (public and private sector representatives)	FEB								Management Team, Project Team, TWG	Technical aspect of land/project site, infrastructure design, financial and administrative/logistical requirements identified and discussed	Attendance to the meeting	83 euros / DELGOSEA

